# Copper-Mediated Oxidative Coupling of Benzamides with Maleimides via Directed C−H Cleavage

Wataru Miura, Koji Hirano,\* and Masahiro Miura\*

Department of Applied Chemistry, [F](#page-2-0)aculty of Engineering, Os[aka](#page-2-0) University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-2-0)STRACT: A  $Cu(OAc)_{2}/Cy_{2}NMe-mediated$  oxidative direct coupling of benzamides with maleimides has been developed. The aromatic C−H alkenylation with the aid of an 8-aminoquinoline-based bidentate directing group is followed by an intramolecular aza-Michael-type addition to form the isoindolone-incorporated spirosuccinimides, which are of potent interest in medicinal chemistry.



ver the past two decades, transition-metal-promoted aromatic C−H functionalization has received much attention and grown rapidly because of its capacity to transform ubiquitous C−H bonds into versatile C−C and C−X bonds without prefunctionalization steps, such as halogenation and stoichiometric metalation, which are inevitable in the conventional cross-coupling strategy. $1$  To date, numerous transition metal salts and complexes have been employed for this type of transformation. Among the[m,](#page-3-0) copper is one of the less expensive, less toxic, and abundant promoters in aromatic C− H activation and enables some unique and challenging transformations, which are frequently difficult to achieve even in the presence of noble metals, including palladium, rhodium, and ruthenium.<sup>2</sup> Particularly, copper-mediated oxidative coupling reactions have been widely studied by many synthetic chemists, and no[w](#page-3-0) a variety of carbon- and heteroatom-based nucleophiles are accessible as the coupling partners. However, despite significant advances in this research field, the use of alkenes still remains a challenge. Given the ready availability and robustness of alkenes, further development of copperpromoted direct oxidative coupling with alkenes is greatly appealing.

Recently, our group<sup>3</sup> and others<sup>4</sup> focused on a bidentate coordination strategy<sup>5</sup> and succeeded in otherwise challenging copper-mediated oxida[ti](#page-3-0)ve C−C a[nd](#page-3-0) C−X formations via a directed C−H cleava[ge](#page-3-0). The above seminal works prompted us to develop the copper-mediated direct coupling with alkenes by using the double coordination strategy. Herein, we report an 8 aminoquinoline-directed,  $Cu(OAc)_2/Cy_2NMe$ -mediated oxidative coupling of benzamide C−H bonds with maleimides. The reaction proceeds through an oxidative alkenylation of aromatic C−H bonds followed by an intramolecular Michael addition to afford the corresponding isoindolone-incorporated spirosuccinimides, $6$  which are of potent interest in medicinal chemistry.<sup>7</sup> To the best of our knowledge, this is the first successful example [o](#page-3-0)f the copper-mediated oxidative coupling of aromati[c](#page-3-0) C−H bonds with alkenes.<sup>8</sup>

Our optimization studies commenced with benzamide 1a bearing an 8-aminoquin[oli](#page-3-0)ne auxiliary, which was originally

introduced by Daugulis, $9$  and N-methylmaleimide (2a; Table 1). In an early experiment, treatment of 1a with 2.0 equiv of 2a in the presence of 2.0 [eq](#page-3-0)[uiv of](#page-1-0)  $Cu(OAc)_2$  and 1.0 equiv of [P](#page-1-0)ivOH in DMF at 150 °C for 3 h afforded the desired oxidative coupling product, 3aa, in 49% NMR yield. Concurrently, we also observed a 66:34 diastereomixture of the 1:2 coupling product 4aa (33% combined NMR yield; entry 1). The structures of 3aa as well as the major diastereomer of 4aa were unambiguously confirmed by NMR, HRMS, and X-ray analysis. $10$  To improve the chemoselectivity of the reaction, we then tested various additives. To our delight, some organic bases w[ere](#page-3-0) found to effectively suppress the formation of 4aa (entries 2−7). Particularly, Cy2NMe proved to be optimal, and 3aa was formed in 43% NMR yield with only a trace amount of 4aa (entry 5). On the other hand, inorganic bases such as  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  completely shut down the reaction (entry 8). Subsequent investigation of the reaction stoichiometry revealed that an increase in the amount of both 2a and  $Cu(OAc)$ <sub>2</sub> to 4.0 equiv gave 3aa in 78% NMR yield (entry 9). Notably, while a longer reaction period (24 h) was required, the oxidative coupling reaction also proceeded well even at 80 °C to furnish 3aa in a quantitative NMR yield (entry 10). At lower temperature, the effect of  $Cy<sub>2</sub>NMe$  was more remarkable: in the absence of Cy<sub>2</sub>NMe, 3aa was observed in only 30% NMR yield (entry 11). Thus,  $Cy<sub>2</sub>NMe$  not only improved the chemoselectivity but also accelerated the reaction itself. In contrast, the addition of PivOH gave a relatively minor effect on the yield (entry 12). Additionally, benzamides that bear monodentate  $(A)$  and other bidentate coordinating groups  $(B)$ and C) gave no coupling product even at 150  $^{\circ}$ C (see Table 1), indicating that the aminoquinoline-based N,N-chelating nature and relatively acidic NH of  $1a^{11}$  are essential for the [successfu](#page-1-0)l conversion.

With the optimized conditi[ons](#page-3-0) in hand (entry 10 in Table 1), we investi[gat](#page-3-0)ed the scope and limitations of the oxidative

Received: July 7, 2015 Published: July 30, 2015

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>Table 1. Optimization Studies for Copper-Mediated Oxidative Coupling of Benzamide 1a with N-Methylmaleimide  $(2a)^a$



<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: 1a (0.25 mmol), 2a (0.50 mmol),  $Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>$ (0.50 mmol), PivOH (0.25 mmol), additive (1.0 mmol), DMF (1.5 mL), N<sub>2</sub>. <sup>b1</sup>H NMR yield. Yield is given in parentheses.<br>"Diastereomeric ratio. <sup>d</sup>With **2a** (1.0 mmol) and Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (1.0 mmol). <sup>e</sup>Without PivOH.

unsuccessful substrates



coupling. Representative products are shown in Scheme 1. As the alkene partner, N-benzyl- and N-phenylmaleimides 2b and 2c were also suitable, and the corresponding spirosuccinimides 3ab and 3ac were obtained in 83% and 72% yields, respectively. The substitution effects in the benzamide were then evaluated. The copper-based system accommodated electron-neutral tertbutyl (3ba), electron-donating methoxy (3cb), and electronwithdrawing chloro (3db) and trifluoromethyl (3eb) groups at the para position, but the latter cases (3cb, 3db, and 3eb) gave somewhat lower efficiency. Similar trends were observed in the reaction of the ortho-substituted benzamides: 2-methylbenzamide resulted in nearly quantitative formation of 3fa, whereas methoxy (3ga) and trifluoromethyl (3hb) substituents showed moderate reactivity even at higher temperature (150 °C). When the meta-substituted benzamides were applied, the reaction occurred exclusively at the sterically more accessible position, irrespective of electronic nature of the substituent (3ia, 3ja, 3ka, and 3lb). The naphthalene ring was also tolerated under the standard conditions (3ma and 3na). In line with the above meta-substituted substrates, the naphthalene-2 carboxamide dominantly formed the C3-functionalized product 3na. Additionally, a more easily removable 5-methoxyquinolinyl group (vide infra) also worked well at 150  $^{\circ}$ C<sup>13</sup> under

Scheme 1. Copper-Mediated Oxidative Direct Coupling of Various Benzamides 1 and Maleimides  $2<sup>a</sup>$ 



<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: 1 (0.25 mmol), 2 (1.0 mmol),  $Cu(OAc)_2$  (1.0 mmol), PivOH (0.25 mmol), Cy2NMe (1.0 mmol), DMF (1.5 mL),  $80^{\circ}$ C, 24 h, N<sub>2</sub>. The yields are given.  $^{b}$ At 150  $^{\circ}$ C for 4 h. <sup>c</sup>NMR yield.

otherwise identical conditions to give 3ab′ in 71% yield (Scheme  $2$ ).<sup>14</sup>

Scheme 2. [Co](#page-3-0)pper-Mediated Oxidative Direct Coupling of Benzamide 1a′ with N-Benzylmaleimide (2b)



To gain some mechanistic insight into the C−H cleavage step, the following deuterium-labeling experiments with  $1a-d_5$ were performed (Scheme 3). After 3 h under the standard conditions, both the coupling product and remaining staring material underwent significant H/D scrambling at the ortho position. On the other hand, in the absence of  $Cy<sub>2</sub>NMe$ , the reaction was much slower, and relatively small but significant





<span id="page-2-0"></span>H/D exchange of the recovered benzamide was observed by  $^1\mathrm{H}$ and  ${}^{2}H$  NMR analysis. These outcomes suggest that the reversible C−H metalation occurs under the standard conditions and that Cy<sub>2</sub>NMe not only facilitates the C−H cleavage of the benzamide 1a but also accelerates other critical elementary steps. In addition, when the isolated spirosuccinimide 3aa was resubjected to the conditions of entry 1 in Table 1, a 66:34 diastereomixture of the 1:2 coupling product 4aa was formed in 80% NMR yield, thus confirming that 3aa [is the](#page-1-0) [in](#page-1-0)termediate for 4aa (Scheme 4).





On the basis of the above findings and literature information, we are tempted to assume the reaction mechanism of 1a with 2a as follows (Scheme 5). Initial neutral and anionic N,N-

Scheme 5. Plausible Mechanism for Formation of 3aa ( $R =$ Ac or Piv)



bidentate coordination of the aminoquinoline moiety in 1a to the  $Cu(OR)<sub>2</sub>$  forms chelated species 5, the coordination mode of which is supported by the unsuccessful conversion of the benzamides bearing other monodentate and bidentate coordinating groups (Table 1). Subsequent Cy<sub>2</sub>NMe-assisted C−H cupration (5  $\rightarrow$  6) is followed by insertion of the maleimide 2a to the C−Cu [bond](#page-1-0) of 6 to generate the alkylcopper intermediate 7. The spirosuccinimide 3aa is then formed by the  $\beta$ -hydride elimination<sup>15</sup>/intramolecular aza-Michael-type addition/protonation sequence  $(7 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 9 \rightarrow 3$ aa). Given the significant acceleration effe[ct](#page-3-0) of Cy<sub>2</sub>NMe observed in Scheme 5, it is believed to work as an ancillary ligand and/or an external base and promote the insertion and/or  $\beta$ -hydride elimination step. The liberated Cu−H species may be unstable and eventually decompose under the standard conditions. An alternative pathway includes a dissociation of the alkenylated product and subsequent off-cycle, spontaneously intramolecular aza-Michael addition.<sup>16</sup> The exact role of PivOH is not clear, but it can provide the pivalate ligand to the Cu center and somewhat accelerate [the](#page-3-0) C−H cleavage step.<sup>17</sup> Additionally, we cannot completely exclude the possibility of Cu(III) intermediacy (see Scheme S1 for another [mec](#page-3-0)hanism).<sup>18</sup>

On the other hand, as shown in Scheme S2, under more harsh conditions (150 °C, without Cy<sub>2</sub>NMe), the [in](#page-3-0)itially

formed 3aa is remetalated by  $Cu(OR)<sub>2</sub>$ , and successive insertion of the second maleimide 2a and C−N double bond of the quinoline ring affords the copper amide intermediate 12. The formation of 4aa then follows from the cupration at the relatively acidic, proximal C−H  $\alpha$  to the carbonyl (12 → 13) and reductive elimination  $(13 \rightarrow 4aa)$ .<sup>19</sup>

We finally attempted the removal of the directing group from the coupling product (Scheme 6). [Th](#page-3-0)e methoxyquinolinyl

#### Scheme 6. Removal of Directing Group



group was removed by sequential addition of  $BBr_3$  and  $\text{PhI(TFA)}_{22}^{20}$  and the corresponding NH-isoindolone-containing spirosuccinimide 3ab-H was obtained in an acceptable yield. Ho[wev](#page-3-0)er, the methoxyquinoline-substituted substrate generally showed lower reactivity and required more forcing conditions (3ab vs 3ab′). Thus, overall reaction efficiency is still moderate. Additional optimization studies on both the oxidative coupling and auxiliary removal are essential and currently underway.

In summary, we have developed a  $Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>$ -mediated oxidative coupling of benzamides with maleimides via an 8 aminoquinoline-directed C−H cleavage to form the corresponding spirosuccinimides, which are of potent interest in medicinal chemistry. The reaction proceeds smoothly even in the presence of a base metal species,  $Cu(OAc)_{2}$ , alone. Additionally, significant acceleration effects of the organic base, i.e.,  $Cy<sub>2</sub>NMe$ , are also found. This represents the new, unique example of the Cu-promoted direct oxidative coupling of aromatic C−H bonds with alkenes. Further development of related Cu-based C−H activation/coupling transformations is ongoing in our laboratory.

#### ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b01940.

 ${}^{1}H$ ,  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ }, and  ${}^{19}F$  NMR spectra for products and ORTEP drawings (PDF) CIF file of 3aa (CIF) CIF file of 4aa (CIF)

# ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Authors

\*E-mail: k\_hirano@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp. \*E-mail: miura@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp.

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Nos. 15K13696 (Grant-in-Aid for Exploratory Research) and 15H05485 (Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (A)) to K.H.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>and 24225002 (Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S)) to M.M.

# ■ REFERENCES

(1) Selected reviews and accounts: (a) Alberico, D.; Scott, M. E.; Lautens, M. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 174. (b) Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Chem. Lett. 2007, 36, 200. (c) Campeau, L. C.; Stuart, D. R.; Fagnou, K. Aldrichchim. Acta 2007, 40, 35. (d) Seregin, I. V.; Gevorgyan, V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1173. (e) Park, Y. J.; Park, J.-W.; Jun, C.-H. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 222. (f) Lewis, L. C.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1013. (g) Kakiuchi, F.; Kochi, T. Synthesis 2008, 2008, 3013. (h) Daugulis, O.; Do, H.-Q.; Shabashov, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1074. (i) Chen, X.; Engle, K. M.; Wang, D.-H.; Yu, J.-Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5094. (j) Ackermann, L.; Vicente, R.; Kapdi, A. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9792. (k) Sun, C.-L.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 677. (l) Lyons, T. W.; Sanford, M. S. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1147. (m) Dudnik, A. S.; Gevorgyan, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2096. (n) Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 11212. (o) Ackermann, L. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 4866. (p) Liu, C.; Zhang, H.; Shi, W.; Lei, A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1780. (q) Yamaguchi, J.; Yamaguchi, A. D.; Itami, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8960. (2) Reviews and accounts: (a) Kulkarni, A. A.; Daugulis, O. Synthesis 2009, 4087. (b) Wendlandt, A. E.; Suess, A. M.; Stahl, S. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 11062. (c) Hirano, K.; Miura, M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 10704. (d) Hirano, K.; Miura, M. Top. Catal. 2014, 57, 878. (e) Guo, X.-X.; Gu, D.-W.; Wu, Z.; Zhang, W. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 1622. (f) Hirano, K.; Miura, M. Chem. Lett. 2015, 44, 868.

(3) (a) Nishino, M.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4457. (b) Odani, R.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 11045. (c) Miura, M.; Nishino, M.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Odani, R. Heterocycles 2014, 88, 595. (d) Takamatsu, K.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2892. (e) Takamatsu, K.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 3242.

(4) Selected examples: (a) Tran, L. D.; Popov, I.; Daugulis, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 18237. (b) Tran, L. D.; Roane, J.; Daugulis, O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 6043. (c) Truong, T.; Klimovica, K.; Daugulis, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9342. (d) Roane, J.; Daugulis, O. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5842. (e) Urones, B.; Martínez, Á . M.; Rodríguez, N.; Arrayás, R. G.; Carretero, J. C. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 11044. (f) Martínez, Á. M.; Rodríguez, N.; Arrayás, R. G.; Carretero, J. C. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 2801. (g) Shang, M.; Sun, S.-Z.; Dai, H.-X.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 3354. (h) Li, Q.; Zhang, S.-Y.; He, G.; Ai, Z.; Nack, W. A.; Chen, G. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1764. (i) Wang, Z.; Ni, J.; Kuninobu, Y.; Kanai, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3496. (j) Wu, X.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, G.; Ge, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3706. (k) Shang, M.; Wang, H.-L.; Sun, S.-Z.; Dai, H.-X.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 11590. (l) Dong, J.; Wang, F.; You, J. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2884. (m) Chen, F.-J.; Liao, G.; Li, X.; Wu, J.; Shi, B.-F. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5644. (n) Shang, M.; Sun, S.-Z.; Dai, H.-X.; Yu, J.-Q. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5666. (o) Wang, S.; Guo, R.; Wang, G.; Chen, S.-Y.; Yu, X.-Q. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 12718. (p) Zhu, W.; Zhang, D.; Yang, N.; Liu, H. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 10634. (q) Zhang, L.-B.; Hao, X.-Q.; Zhang, S.-K.; Liu, K.; Ren, B.; Gong, J.-F.; Niu, J.-L.; Song, M.-P. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 10399. (r) Liu, Y.-J.; Liu, Y.-H.; Yin, X.-S.; Gu, W.-J.; Shi, B.-F. Chem. - Eur. J. 2015, 21, 205. (s) Wang, H.-L.; Shang, M.; Sun, S.-Z.; Zhou, Z.- L.; Laforteza, B. N.; Dai, H.-X.; Yu, J.-Q. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1228. (t) Rao, W.-H.; Shi, B.-F. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2784.

(5) (a) Corbet, M.; De Campo, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9896. (b) Rouquet, G.; Chatani, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11726. (c) Castro, L. C. M.; Chatani, N. Chem. Lett. 2015, 44, 410.

(6) For a similar oxidative coupling of N-tosylbenzamides and electron-deficient alkenes by Rh(III) catalysts, see: (a) Zhu, C.; Falck, J. R. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 1674. (b) Zhu, C.; Falck, J. R. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 9192.

(7) Wrobel, J.; Dietrich, A.; Woolson, S. A.; Millen, J.; McCaleb, M.; Harrison, M. C.; Hohman, T. C.; Sredy, J.; Sullivan, D. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 4613.

(8) A radical coupling of sp<sup>3</sup> C−H adjacent to heteroatoms with alkenes under Cu(II)-mediated oxidative conditions was reported: (a) Cheng, K.; Huang, L.; Zhang, Y. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2908. (b) Nishino, M.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 6447.

(9) Zaitsev, V. Z.; Shabashov, D.; Daugulis, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 13154.

(10) Crystallographic data for the structures has been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (CCDC 1408682 and 1408683). See the Supporting Information for details.

(11) The <sup>1</sup> H NMR chemical shifts of NH in 1a, A, B, and C are 10.76, 8.21, 7.61, and 9.26 ppm, respectively (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C).

(12) Our preliminary attempts to apply catalytic conditions with terminal oxidants such as  $O_2$  and  $MnO_2$  remained unsuccessful. No coupling product was observed, and a large amount of 1a was recovered intact. See the Supporting Information for more detailed optimization studies.

(13) Under the standard conditions (80 °C, 24 h), 1a′ coupled with 2a in only 28% yield. The observed lower reactivity of 1a′ can be associated with the lower acidity of NH  $(^1H$  NMR chemical shift: 10.51 in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C).

(14) The current major limitation of the present protocol is inaccessibility to alkenes other than the maleimides. Unsuccessful alkenes included maleic anhydride, acrylates, styrenes, and norbornene.

(15) Dang, L.; Zhao, H.; Lin, Z.; Marder, T. B. Organometallics 2007, 26, 2824.

(16) The alkenylated intermediate was not observed during the course of the reaction.

(17) (a) Sokolov, V. I.; Troitskaya, L. L.; Reutov, O. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 182, 537. (b) Ryabov, A. D.; Sakodinskaya, I. K.; Yatsimirsky, A. K. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1985, 2629. (c) GóMez, M.; Granell, J.; Martinez, M. Organometallics 1997, 16, 2539. (d) Mota, A. J.; Dedieu, A.; Bour, C.; Suffert, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7171. (e) Garcia-Cuadrado, D.; Braga, A. A. C.; Maseras, F.; Echavarren, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1066. (f) Lafrance, M.; Rowley, C. N.; Woo, T. K.; Fagnou, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8754. (g) Lapointe, D.; Fagnou, K. Chem. Lett. 2010, 39, 1118. (h) Maleckis, A.; Kampf, J. W.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 6618 and references therein.

(18) (a) Ribas, X.; Jackson, D. A.; Donnadieu, B.; Mahía, J.; Parella, T.; Xifra, R.; Hedman, B.; Hodgson, K. O.; Llobert, A.; Stack, T. D. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2991. (b) Huffman, L. M.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 9196. (c) King, A. E.; Brunold, T. C.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5044. (d) King, A. E.; Huffman, L. M.; Casitas, A.; Costas, M.; Ribas, X.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12068. (e) Casitas, A.; Canta, M.; Sola, M.; ́ Costas, M.; Ribas, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 19386. (f) Suess, A. M.; Ertem, M. Z.; Cramer, C. J.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9797.

(19) See the Supporting Information for details.

(20) (a) He, G.; Zhang, S.-Y.; Nack, W. A.; Li, Q.; Chen, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11124. (b) Deng, Y.; Gong, W.; He, J.; Yu, J.- Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 6692. Note that the direct addition of CAN gave a complicated mixture.